Fiber-Optics Implementation of an Asymmetric Phase-Covariant Quantum Cloner

Lucie Bartušková,1 Miloslav Dušek,1 Antonín Černoch,1,2 Jan Šoubusta,2 and Jaromír Fiurášek1
1Department of Optics, Palacký University, 17. listopadu 50, 772 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic
2Joint Laboratory of Optics of Palacký University and Institute of Physics of Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic,
17. listopadu 50A, 772 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic
(Received 15 December 2006; published 21 September 2007)

We present the experimental realization of optimal symmetric and asymmetric phase-covariant 1 → 2 cloning of qubit states using fiber optics. The state of each qubit is encoded into a single photon which can propagate through two optical fibers. The operation of our device is based on one- and two-photon interference. We have demonstrated the creation of two copies for a wide range of qubit states from the equator of the Bloch sphere. The measured fidelities of both copies are close to the theoretical values and they surpass the theoretical maximum attainable with the universal cloner.
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The quantum no-cloning theorem [1] lies at the heart of quantum information theory. The apparently simple observation that perfect copying of unknown quantum states is impossible has profound consequences. On the fundamental side, it prevents superluminal communication with entangled states, thereby guaranteeing the peaceful coexistence of quantum mechanics and the theory of relativity. On the practical side, this theorem is behind the security of quantum key distribution schemes which rely on the existence of quantum mechanics and the theory of relativity.

The optimal asymmetric phase-covariant cloning transformation in a controlled way and we are thus able to demonstrate the whole class of the optimal asymmetric cloners. In contrast to our previous experiment on the optimal symmetric phase-covariant cloning of polarization states of single photons [17], with the present fiber-based scheme [18] we are able to achieve fidelities exceeding the limit of optimal universal cloning machine. This is rather challenging because the fidelities of the optimal universal and phase-covariant cloners are very close. For instance, for a symmetric cloner we have $F_{\text{uni}} = \frac{5}{6} \approx 0.833$ and $F_{\text{pc}} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) \approx 0.854$, so the fidelities differ only by 2.1%.

The optimal asymmetric phase-covariant cloning transformation requires only a single blank copy in addition to the input qubit to be cloned and reads [4,19],

$$|0\rangle \rightarrow |00\rangle, \quad |1\rangle \rightarrow \sqrt{q}|10\rangle + \sqrt{1-q}|01\rangle,$$

where $q \in [0, 1]$ characterizes the asymmetry of the clones.
and for the symmetric cloner \( q = \frac{1}{2} \). The fidelities of the two clones are given by [4,12,20]

\[
F_A = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{q}), \quad F_B = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{1 - q}).
\]

In our scheme (see Fig. 1) each qubit is represented by a single photon which may propagate in two optical fibers and the basis states \(|0\rangle\) and \(|1\rangle\) correspond to the presence of the photon in the first or second fiber, respectively. The state of the ancilla photon is initially \(|0\rangle\) while the signal photon can be prepared in an arbitrary state from the equator of the Bloch sphere. The two photons impinge on two unbalanced beam splitters (variable-ratio couplers VRC\(_0\) and VRC\(_1\)) with different splitting ratios. Let us suppose that real amplitude transmittances and reflectances of VRC\(_0\) and VRC\(_1\) are \(t_0, r_0\) and \(t_1, r_1\), respectively. We use the notation \(R_j = r_j^2\) and \(T_j = t_j^2\) for the intensity reflectances and transmittances and \(R_j + T_j = 1\) for a lossless beam splitter. In the experiment, we accept only the events when a single photon is detected in each output pair of fibers corresponding to the clone \(A\) and \(B\), respectively. The cloning thus relies on postselection and is implemented conditionally, similarly to other optical cloning experiments. The resulting conditional transformation reads [21]

\[
\begin{align*}
|0\rangle_{\text{Sig}}|0\rangle_{\text{Anc}} &\rightarrow (r_0^2 - t_0^2)|00\rangle, \\
|1\rangle_{\text{Sig}}|0\rangle_{\text{Anc}} &\rightarrow r_0t_1|10\rangle - t_0t_1|01\rangle.
\end{align*}
\]

This becomes equivalent to the optimal cloning operation (1) up to a constant prefactor representing the probability amplitude of successful cloning, if the following equations hold,

\[
r_0r_1 = \sqrt{q}(r_0^2 - t_0^2), \quad t_0t_1 = -\sqrt{1 - q}(r_0^2 - t_0^2).
\]

Taking the square of the ratio of these two equations, we arrive at

\[
R_1 = \frac{q(1 - R_0)}{q(1 - R_0) + (1 - q)R_0},
\]

and from the normalization \(T_1 + R_1 = 1\) we find after some algebra that \(R_0\) can be determined as a root of a cubic polynomial \(R_0(1 - R_0) + [R_0(2q - 1) - q](2R_0 - 1)^2 = 0\). The resulting reflectances are given in Table I for several values of the asymmetry parameter \(q\).

Our experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1. A pair of signal and ancilla photons is prepared by means of frequency-degenerate type-I spontaneous parametric down-conversion in a 10-mm-long LiIO\(_3\) nonlinear crystal pumped by a krypton-ion cw laser (413.1 nm), similarly as in our previous experiments [17,18]. The signal photon is split by a fiber coupler (FC) into two fibers. The basis states of the signal qubit, \(|0\rangle\) and \(|1\rangle\), correspond to the presence of a photon either in fiber \(f_2\) or \(f_1\), respectively. The intensity ratio and phase difference between these two modes determine the input state of the signal qubit.

Preparation of the state is affected by unequal losses in the two optical paths \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) which alter the effective splitting ratio of FC. This effective splitting ratio is measured with the help of a semiconductor laser and a PIN photodiode and the attenuator in mode \(f_2\) is adjusted in such a way that the setup is balanced and at the end of the state preparation block the signal photon is evenly split between \(f_1\) and \(f_2\). Various equatorial qubit states \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + e^{i\phi}|1\rangle)\) can be then prepared by changing only the voltage applied to the phase modulator PM which sets the relative phase \(\phi\). The ancilla is in a fixed state \(|0\rangle\) which corresponds to a single photon propagating through the fiber \(f_3\).

The cloning operation is realized by two variable-ratio couplers VRC\(_0\) and VRC\(_1\). VRC\(_0\) forms the core of Hong-Ou-Mandel (HOM) interferometer [22]. For optimal cloning it is necessary to achieve precise time overlap of the two photons at VRC\(_0\) and match their polarizations. To accomplish these tasks the splitting ratio of VRC\(_0\) is set to a 50:50. We typically reach visibilities of HOM dip around 98%. Then the VRC\(_0\) splitting ratio is changed to the required value depending on the asymmetry parameter \(q\); cf. Table I.

The two fiber-based Mach-Zehnder (MZ) interferometers are adjusted using only the signal beam from the nonlinear crystal, the ancilla beam is blocked. First the

![FIG. 1. Experimental setup. Nonlinear crystal (NLC), polarizers (P), attenuators (A), phase modulators (PM), adjustable air gaps (AG), detectors (D).](image-url)
intensity transmittances of the whole arms of each MZ interferometer are balanced with the help of the attenuators in the “detection” part of the setup, which compensates for the unequal losses caused by the splitting ratios of variable-ratio couplers, the phase modulators, air gaps, and other factors. Then we maximize interference visibilities by precisely balancing the optical lengths in both arms and aligning polarization in each MZ interferometer. In this setting visibilities above 97% are achieved. After this step we unbalance the MZ interferometers properly again: From the transmittances and reflectances of VRC₀ and VRC₁ used in the experiment and given in Table I we can determine what should be the detection rates for equal losses in the optical paths from VRC₀ and VRC₁ to FC. So, we tune the attenuators until we reach the point where these optical-path losses are balanced. This ensures that each detection block performs projections onto the states on the equator of the Bloch sphere.

To reduce the effect of a phase drift between arms of each MZ interferometer caused by fluctuations of temperature and temperature gradients we apply both passive and active stabilization. The experimental setup is thermally isolated in a polystyrene box. After this precaution the phase drift is negligible and polarization remains stable over the period of several days.

We have experimentally realized cloning operation for the five values of asymmetry parameter q shown in Table I. For each q related to given splitting ratios of the couplers VRC₀ and VRC₁, various states from the equator of the Bloch sphere were cloned. Two detection blocks are used to measure simultaneously fidelities of both clones. Each block consists of an attenuator, a phase modulator, a 50:50 fiber coupler and two detectors (Perkin-Elmer single-photon counting modules employing silicon avalanche photodiodes with quantum efficiency η ≈ 50%). The cloning is successful only if one photon passes to the modes of qubit A and the other one to the modes of qubit B. Hence coincidences between detectors DᵢA and DᵢB (i, j = 0, 1) are counted. The signals from detectors are processed by coincidence electronics based on time-to-amplitude converters and single-channel analyzers with a two-nanosecond coincidence window.

The measurement basis for each clone consists of the input signal state and the state orthogonal to it, which is guaranteed by the alignment procedure described above. Four coincidence rates C₊₊, C₋₋, C₊₋, and C₋₊ were measured. The first sign concerns clone A and the other one clone B; “+” means projection to the original signal state and “−” to its orthogonal complement. Fidelities of clones read

\[ F_A = \frac{C_{++} + C_{+-}}{C_{tot}}, \quad F_B = \frac{C_{++} + C_{-+}}{C_{tot}}, \]

where \( C_{tot} = C_{++} + C_{--} + C_{+-} + C_{-+} \).

Our results are summarized in Fig. 2 and in Table I. The fidelities for each value of asymmetry are averaged over all cloned signal states from the equator. Figure 2 shows the fidelity of the second clone as a function of the fidelity of the first clone. One can see that there is a small systematic error—measured fidelities are always 1%–2% lower than their theoretical values. This is caused by misalignments, limited precision of parameter setting and a phase drift in both MZ interferometers during the measurement period. However, the qualitative agreement between the theoretical curve for the optimal asymmetric phase-covariant cloner, determined by Eq. (2), and the measured data is very good. For comparison, the dashed line indicates the trade-off between the fidelities of the optimal universal asymmetric cloner [4],

\[ F_A = 1 - \frac{(1 - p)^2}{2(1 - p + p^2)}, \quad F_B = 1 - \frac{p^2}{2(1 - p + p^2)}, \]

where \( p \in [0, 1] \). Note that most of the experimental points lie in the area inaccessible by any universal cloning machine.

As an example, Fig. 3 shows data measured for the symmetric phase-covariant cloner (q = 0.5). The splitting ratio of VRC₀ was set to 21:79 whereas the splitting ratio of VRC₁ to 79:21. The measurement was done for phases from 0° to 360° with a step of 20°. For each phase 40 three-second measurements were performed. Displayed

**FIG. 2** (color online). Dependence of fidelity \( F_B \) on \( F_A \) for a phase-covariant cloner with different asymmetries. Symbols denote experimental data, solid line represents theoretical prediction for the optimal asymmetric phase-covariant cloner. Dashed line shows a theoretical prediction for optimal asymmetric universal cloner.
fidelities are calculated from the four coincidence rates that were recorded simultaneously. The average value of the sum of all four coincidence rates was approximately 60 per second. The accidental coincidences (about $10^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) are thus negligible. The four detectors exhibit slightly different efficiencies whose relative ratios were determined from auxiliary measurements on weak coherent pulses. The unequal efficiencies were then compensated by proper rescaling of the measured coincidences. As expected, fidelities are nearly independent on phase; cf. Figure 3. We can see that the average fidelities $F_A = 0.854 \pm 0.004$ and $F_B = 0.834 \pm 0.004$ of the symmetric cloner are in fact slightly different due to imperfections of our setup. The splitting ratio of VRC$_0$ was always set in such a way that the greater part of ancilla went to clone $B$. Therefore the visibility of HOM dip lower than 100% and the inaccuracy of position setting in HOM dip have stronger influence on fidelity $F_B$ than $F_A$. However, the average of $F_A$ and $F_B$ overcomes the bound for the universal cloner.

Because none of the output fiber couplers of MZ interferometers is precisely 50:50, the visibility of the single-photon interference cannot be perfect at both output ports of the coupler [23]. Therefore we have also measured all four coincidence rates sequentially with only one pair of detectors using proper phase shifts at phase modulators PM$_A$ and PM$_B$. We had chosen the two detectors where the visibilities were maximized. Using only these two detectors we have obtained fidelities (averaged over all phases): $F_A = 0.840 \pm 0.009$, $F_B = 0.850 \pm 0.009$. This kind of measurement has the important property that no compensation for different detector efficiencies is needed. The fidelities obtained in this way are in very good agreement with those obtained by the simultaneous measurement of all four coincidence rates, which confirms that our method of compensation of unequal detector efficiencies is correct.

In summary, we have demonstrated optimal symmetric and asymmetric phase-covariant cloning of single-photon states. The use of fiber optics allowed us to achieve fidelities exceeding the maximum obtainable by any universal cloning machine. Our implementation is compatible with fiber-based communication systems and represents a promising platform for realization of various protocols for quantum information processing.
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FIG. 3 (color online). Symmetric phase-covariant cloner. Fidelities $F_A$ and $F_B$ are plotted as functions of input-state phase $\phi$. Symbols denote experimental data, solid line represents theoretical prediction for the phase-covariant cloner, and the dashed line shows theoretical prediction for the universal cloner. Error bars represent statistical errors.