Quantum optomechanics with photonic crystal cavities

Simon Gröblacher

Kavli Institute of Nanoscience, Delft University of Technology, 2628 CJ Delft, The Netherlands

Macroscopic quantum physics

Quantum behaviour of macroscopic systems

• Is there a size- / mass-limit in quantum physics? quantum physics in a new parameter regime of massive, macroscopic systems





What are the quantum limits in (mechanical) sensing? quantum states of nanomechanical resonators for metrology

Can mechanical systems serve as platforms for quantum information processing? functionalized mechanical systems as quantum transducer

functionalized mechanical systems as quantum transducer



Opto-mechanical systems





Radiation pressure





Radiation pressure



$$\begin{split} \tilde{H} &= & \hbar \omega_c g_0 \left(b + b^\dagger \right) a^\dagger a \\ \text{with coupling rate} & g_0 &= x_{zpf} \frac{\partial \omega_c}{\partial x} \\ \text{for FP cavity} & g_0 &= \frac{\omega_c}{L} x_{zpf} \\ & g &= g_0 \cdot \alpha_s = g_0 \sqrt{n_c} \gg g_0 \end{split}$$

rotating wave approximation:



Quantum opto-mechanics toolbox



- * Optomechanical entanglement / teleportation
- * Ground-state cooling
- * Non-classical mechanical states (fock, cat, squeezed, ...)
- * Squeezing of light
- * Wavelength conversion
- * Radiation pressure backaction
- * Standard quantum limit

Frequency [arb. units]



Macro opto- / electro-mechanics

Radiation pressure cooling...

S. Gigan et al., Nature 444, 67-70 (2006)
O. Arcizet et al., Nature 444, 71-74 (2006)
Schliesser et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 243905 (2006)
Corbitt et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 150892 (2007)

...into the quantum ground state (n < 1):</pre>

J. Chan et al., Nature **478**, 89-92 (2011)

Strong coupling:

S. Gröblacher et al., Nature **460**, 724-727 (2009) E. Verhagen et al., Nature **482**, 63-67 (2012)

Radiation pressure back-action:

T. P. Purdy et al., Science 339, 801-804 (2013)
A. H. Safavi-Naeini et al., Nature 500, 185-189 (2013)

Mechanical quantum effects:

A. H. Safavi-Naeini et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 033602 (2012)





A. Naik et al., Nature **443**, 193-196 (2006) T. Rocheleau et al., Nature **463**, 72-75 (2010)

J. D. Teufel et al., Nature 475, 359-363 (2011)

J. D. Teufel et al., Nature 471, 204-208 (2011)

A. D. O'Connell et al., Nature **464**, 697-703 (2010) T. A. Palomaki et al., Science **342**, 710-713 (2013)







Photonic crystal nanobeams





Device design

'Cross' structure around the OMC acts as a phononic shield

- full mechanical bandgap
- decreases mechanical losses







Photonic crystal nanobeams



- * EIT: A. H. Safavi-Naeini et al., Nature **472**, 69-73 (2011)
- * ground-state cooling: J. Chan et al., Nature 478, 89-92 (2011)
- * sideband asymmetry: A. H. Safavi-Naeini et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 033602 (2012)
- * wavelength conversion: J. T. Hill et al., Nature Comm. 3, 1196 (2012)





Efficient coupling



* taper to waveguide coupling efficiency >90%
* waveguide to cavity coupling adjustable



SG et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. **103**, 181104 (2013)



Gravitational waves











Squeezed light for GW



C. M. Caves, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 1693-1708 (1981) The LIGO Scientific Collaboration, Nature Phys. **7**, 962-965 (2011)

R. E. Slusher et al., PRL 55, 2409 (1985)
R. M. Shelby et al., PRL 57,619 (1986)
L. A. Wu et al., PRL 57, 2520 (1986)
S. Machida et al., PRL 58, 1000 (1987)







Light squeezing with optomechanics





Light squeezing with optomechanics





Sub shot-noise squeezing



and O. Painter, Nature **500**, 185-189 (2013)

TUDelft

Challenge the future 18

The Marquardt challenges



Image courtesy of Florian Marquardt



Future quantum optomechanics

$$H_{rp} = \hbar \omega_c g_0 \left(b + b^{\dagger} \right) a^{\dagger} a$$
 ... need full access to non-linear interaction!

#	Publication	κ/2π [Hz]	g ₀ /2π [Hz]	g_0/κ
1	Gigan et al., Nature 444, 67 – 70 (2006)	7.5×10^{6}	3.09	4.1×10^{-7}
2	Arcizet et al., Nature 444, 71 – 74 (2006)	$1.0 \ge 10^6$	0.86	8.3 x 10 ⁻⁷
3	Corbitt et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 160801 (2007)	1×10^3	8.2 x 10 ⁻³	8.6 x 10 ⁻⁸
4	Thompson et al., Nature 452 , 72 – 75 (2008)	$1.6 \ge 10^5$	4.7	2.9×10^{-5}
5	Schliesser et al., Nature Phys. 4, 415 – 419 (2008)	$1.6 \ge 10^6$	149	9.3×10^{-5}
6	Anetsberger et al., Nature Phys. 5, 909 – 914 (2009)	4.9×10^6	589	1.2×10^{-4}
7	Gröblacher et al., Nature Phys. 5, 485 – 488 (2009)	7.7×10^5	5.1	6.6 x 10 ⁻⁶
8	Gröblacher et al., Nature 460, 724 – 727 (2009)	2.1×10^5	2.8	1.3×10^{-5}
9	Wilson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 207204 (2009)	1.3×10^7	6.1	4.9×10^{-7}
10	Li et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 223901 (2009)	8×10^8	37.8	4.7 x 10 ⁻⁸
11	Ding et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 263903 (2010)	1.7×10^9	1.7×10^5	1.0×10^{-4}
12	Safavi-Naeini et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 97, 181106 (2010)	8.1×10^7	8.0×10^{5}	$1.0 \ge 10^{-2}$
13	Chan et al., Nature 478 , 89 – 92 (2011)	5.0×10^8	9.1 x 10^5	1.8 x 10 ⁻³
14	Verhagen et al., Nature 482 , 63 – 67 (2012)	$6.0 \ge 10^6$	3.4×10^3	5.7×10^{-4}
15	Purdy et al., Phys. Rev. X 3, 031012 (2013)	$1.7 \ge 10^6$	33	1.9 x 10 ⁻⁵

TUDelft

Challenge the future 20

... but what if...

e.g. F. Khalili et al., PRL 105, 070403 (2010)

... could realize for example vacuum Rabi oscillations, quantum state preparation, etc. and:



P. Rabl, PRL 107, 063601 (2011) see also: A. Nunnenkamp et al., PRL 107, 063602 (2011)



Quantum optomechanics

and other types of non-linearities?

for example post-selection:



TUDelft

Pulsed optomechanics



″ UDelft

Challenge the future 25

Pulsed optomechanics with PhC





Current status







Challenge the future 27

Acknowledgements





Gröblacher Lab











Richard

João

Gregory

http://groeblacherlab.tudelft.nl

collaborators:



Sungkun





